MR G

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/533,049	03/22/2000	Shashank Mohan Parasnis	MICR0173	8364

27792   7590   12/12/2003

MICROSOFT CORPORATION
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON
600 108TH AVENUE N.E., SUITE 507
BELLEVUE, WA 98004

EXAMINER
BOUTAH, ALINA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2143	14

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/533,049	PARASNIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alina N Boutah	2143	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --*

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> .

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

    If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

    a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September 23, 2003. Claims 1-

29 are pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Applicant's argument is persuasive. The 112 rejection is now withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-13, 16-18, 21, 22, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over *Mastering Microsoft Internet Information Server 4* by Peter Dyson in view of

USPN 6,108,678 issued to Craig (hereby Dyson in view of Craig).

(Amended) Regarding claim 1, Dyson teaches a method for recording a live presentation

including a predefined content portion that includes a plurality of presentation slides displayed in

response to slide triggering events during the live presentation, and a live portion with live audio

and/or visual content performed in conjunction with display of said plurality of presentation

slides during the live presentation, the method comprising the steps of:

(b) <u>automatically embedding the slide display commands into</u> a data stream <u>as the data</u>

<u>stream is produced, the data stream</u> comprising data corresponding to the live portion of the

presentation (Encapsulation versus Streaming, 4th and 5th paragraph); and

(c) saving the data stream <u>with embedded slide display commands</u> to a file such that

when the file is played, said live portion is reproduced and said plurality of presentation slides

are displayed in substantial synchrony with said live portion as it is played, thereby replicating

the live presentation (Overview, last paragraph; NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2 of 9, under

"Creating NetShow Content," 2nd paragraph; page 3 of 9, under "Creating the ASF Files," 1st

paragraph; page 5 of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor," 1st paragraph).

However, Dyson fails to explicitly teach the commands being slide display commands,

although he mentions the ability to combine PowerPoint Slideshows into the stream (NetShow:

The Grand Tour, page 3, under "Converting PowerPoint Slideshows"). Craig teaches (a)

generating slide display commands corresponding to said slide triggering events, for controlling

display of said plurality of presentation slides (col. 3, lines 1-30). At the time the invention was

made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of

Dyson with the teaching of Craig because slide display commands allow users to control the

order of the slides. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would use the slide display

commands in order to maximize the presentation's visual capabilities, thus providing more

effective presentation.

(Amended) Regarding claim 2, Dyson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step of automatically embedding the slide display commands into the data stream comprises the steps of capturing the live portion as it is performed during the live presentation; and, encoding the live portion into a digital streaming format, thereby producing the data stream (Overview; Encapsulation vs. Streaming; NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2 of 9, under "Creating NetShow Content," 2nd paragraph; page 3 of 9, under "Creating the ASF Files," 1st paragraph; page 5 of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor," 1st paragraph).

(Amended) Regarding claim 3, Dyson teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the step of automatically embedding the slide display commands comprises the step of interleaving the slide display commands into the data stream as the slide display commands are generated (NetShow: The Grand Tour: page 5 of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor," 1st paragraph).

Regarding claim 4, Dyson teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the live portion of the live presentation is captured and encoded into the data stream using an encoding computer and interleaving the slide display commands into the data stream as they are received by the encoding computer (Using NetShow Live Administrator, page 3 of 5, under "Adding a Live Audio Session," entire section).

However, Dyson fails to explicitly teach the live presentation being performed using a local computer that generates the slide display commands in response to the slide triggering events and communicating the slide display commands from the local computer to the encoding computer. Craig teaches a presentation being performed using a local computer that generates

the slide display commands in response to the slide triggering events and communicating the

slide display commands from the local computer to the encoding computer (figure 3, no. 70;

Abstract; col. 3, lines 20-31). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Craig with the teaching of Dyson in

order to perform synchronization between the local computer and the encoding computer, thus

ensuring that the presenter and the audience will be on the same pace.

Regarding claim 5, Dyson teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the live visual content

is captured as a plurality of video frames (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2 of 9, under "Using

Image Content), each being encoded into the data stream with a corresponding time stamp

(NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 5 of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor); and wherein the slide

display commands are interleaved into the data stream such that each slide display command has

a relative time stamp based on its location in the data stream (NetShow: The Grand Tour: page 5

of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor," 1st paragraph).

Regarding claim 7, Dyson fails to explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein the step

of generating slide display commands comprises the steps of: (a) capturing the slide triggering

events as they occur during the live presentation; and (b) generating slide display commands

based on the slide triggering events that are captured. Craig teaches (a) capturing the slide

triggering events as they occur during the live presentation; and (b) generating slide display

commands based on the slide triggering events that are captured (col. 3, lines 1-31). At the time

the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine

the teaching of Craig with the teaching of Dyson by capturing and slide triggering event and

using it to generate slide display commands in order to combine it into a data stream so that

when the presentation is being played back, the slide triggering commands will be in synchrony

with the live presentation portion.

Regarding claim 8, Dyson teaches saving presentation files to a predetermined location

(NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 7, under "Streaming Your ASF"). However, Dyson fails to

explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein each presentation slide is associated with a slide

file that is saved to a predetermined location, and at least one of the slide display commands

references the predetermined location of an associated slide file. Craig teaches each presentation

slide being associated with a slide file that is saved to a predetermined location, and at least one

of the slide display commands references the predetermined location of an associated slide file

(col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 10). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to save each presentation slide file to a predetermined location

in order to facilitate the presenter's computer in retrieving the file during the presentation.

Regarding claim 9, Dyson teaches a method for reproducing on a viewing computer a

presentation that was previously presented live, said viewing computer having a display, said

presentation including a predefined content portion with a plurality of presentation slides that

were displayed in response to slide triggering events during the presentation when it was

presented live, and a live portion comprising live audio and/or visual content performed in

conjunction with display of said plurality of presentation slides during the presentation when it

was presented live, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) producing a recording of the presentation when it was presented live by performing

the steps of: (i) producing a data stream comprising data corresponding to the live portion of the

presentation (Encapsulation versus Streaming, 4th and 5th paragraph); (iii) automatically

embedding commands into the data stream while the data stream is being produced; and (iv)

saving the data stream to a data stream file that is accessible by the viewing computer

(Overview; Encapsulation vs. Streaming; NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2 of 9, under

"Creating NetShow Content," 2nd paragraph; page 3 of 9, under "Creating the ASF Files," 1st

paragraph; page 5 of 9, under "Using the ASF Editor," 1st paragraph);

(b) saving the predefined content portion to at least one presentation file that is accessible

by the viewing computer (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 7 of 9, Streaming Your ASF Files);

(c) accessing the data stream file with the viewing computer (NetShow: The Grand Tour,

page 1 of 9);

(d) reproducing the live portion of the presentation on the display of the viewing

computer by playing the data stream file (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 1 of 9);

(e) extracting script commands from the data stream as the slide display commands are

encountered while playing the data stream file (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2 of 9; page 3

of 9, Converting PowerPoint Slideshows) ;

(f) in response to each slide display command that is extracted in the preceding step,

accessing data corresponding to its associated presentation slide with the viewing computer

(Looking at the On-Demand Player); and

(g) reproducing each of the plurality of presentation on the display of the viewing

computer as data corresponding to that presentation is accessed by the viewing computer in the

preceding step (Looking at the On-Demand Player).

However, Dyson fails to explicitly teach the commands being slide display commands

and the presentation files comprising of presentation slides. Craig teaches:

(a.ii) generating slide display commands corresponding to said slide triggering events,

each slide display command controlling display of an associated presentation slide (col. 3, lines

1-30).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art to combine the teaching of Dyson with the teaching of Craig because slide display

commands allow users to control the order of the slides. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the

art would use the slide display commands in order to maximize the presentation's visual

capabilities, thus providing more effective presentation.

Regarding claim 10, Dyson teaches the method of claim 9, wherein the viewing computer

accesses the data corresponding to the presentation slides with a browser program (Encapsulation

vs. Streaming; Using Multimedia on Your Web Site).

Regarding claim 11, Dyson teaches the method of claim 10, wherein each of said

plurality of presentation slides is associated with a corresponding HTML slide file that is saved

to a predetermined location on a network accessible by the viewing computer and at least a

portion of said slide display commands comprise a link to the predetermined location of an

associated HTML slide file on the network, each of said HTML slide files being opened in the

browser program in response to its associated slide display command, said browser program

interpreting the HTML slide files to reproduce said plurality of presentation slides (NetShow:

The Grand Tour, page 7-9 , entire sections).

Regarding claim 12, Dyson teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the link to each

HTML slide files comprises an absolute reference to a location on the network at which the

HTML slide file corresponding to the link is stored (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 3,

"Including URLs").

Regarding claim 13, Dyson teaches the method of claim 12, wherein each of the absolute

references comprises a base portion identifying a base directory on a network resource in or

below which the HTML slide files are stored, and a relative portion, identifying a location at

which the HTML slide files are stored relative to the base directory, further comprising the steps

of: (a) passing the base portion to the browser program to indicate a location of the base

directory; (b) removing the base portion from each of the links in said slide display commands so

as to leave only the relative portion of the link; and (c) using the relative portion of each link to

enable the browser program to access the HTML file associated with that link (NetShow: The

Grand Tour, page 3, "Including URLs").

Regarding claim 16, Dyson teaches a system for recording a live presentation including a

predefined content portion having a plurality of presentation slides that are displayed in response

to slide triggering events during the live presentation, and a live portion with live audio and/or

visual content performed in conjunction with display of said plurality of presentation slides

during the live presentation, the system comprising:

(a) although Dyson does not explicitly teach all of the mentioned components such as a

local computer with a memory, a user interface, and a processor; these are inherently required in

order to make a computer system work;

(c) an audio capture subsystem that produces a digital audio signal corresponding to the

live audio content (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2, under "Creating Audio Content"); and

(d) an encoding application module comprising a portion of the plurality of machine

instructions stored in the memory of the local computer, said encoding application module being

used for: (i) encoding the digital audio signal into a data stream having a streaming data format

(NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 2, under "Creating Audio Content"); (ii) automatically

embedding the digital audio signal into a data stream while the digital audio signal is encoded

into the data stream having a streaming data format (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 3, under

"Creating the ASF Files"); and (iii) saving the data stream to a data stream file such that when

the data stream file is played, said audio content is reproduced (NetShow: The Grand Tour, page

7, under "Streaming Your ASF Files").

Although he mentions the ability to combine PowerPoint Slideshows into the stream

(NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 3, under "Converting PowerPoint Slideshows"), Dyson fails to

explicitly teach (b). Craig teaches (b) a presentation application program comprising a portion of

the plurality of machine instructions stored in the memory of the local computer, the presentation

application program enabling: (i) a presenter to change slides during the live presentation in

response to slide triggering events entered through the user interface (col. 3, lines 1-30); and (ii) slide display commands to be generated in response to the slide triggering events (col. 3, lines 1-30). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Dyson with the teaching of Craig because slide display commands allow users to control the order of the slides. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would use the slide display commands in order to maximize the presentation's visual capabilities, thus providing more effective presentation.

Regarding claim 17, Dyson teaches the system of claim 16, wherein the live portion of the live presentation further comprises live visual content, further including a video capture subsystem that produces a digital video signal corresponding the live visual content, whereby the digital video signal is encoded along with the digital audio signal into the data stream, such that the audio and visual content is reproduced in synchrony when the data stream file is played (NetShow: The Grand Tour).

(Amended) Regarding claim 20, Dyson teaches a system for recording a live presentation including a predefined content portion having a plurality of presentation slides that are displayed in response to slide triggering events during the live presentation, and a live portion comprising live audio content performed in conjunction with display of said plurality of presentation slides during the live presentation, the system comprising:

(a) although Dyson does not explicitly teach all of the mentioned components such as a
local computer with a memory, a user interface, and a processor; these are inherently required in
order to make a computer system work;

(b) an audio capture subsystem that produces a digital audio signal corresponding to the
live audio content (NetShow: The Grand Tour);

(c) an encoding computer having a memory in which a plurality of machine instructions
are stored, and a processor coupled to the memory for executing the machine instructions, the
encoding computer being linked in communication with the local computer and the audio capture
subsystem (NetShow: The Grand Tour);

(d) a portion of the plurality of machine instructions stored in the memory of the
encoding computer comprising an encoding module, execution of the encoding module
performing the functions of: (i) encoding the digital audio signal into a data stream having a
streaming data format (NetShow: The Grand Tour); and (ii) saving the data stream to a data
stream file (NetShow: The Grand Tour).

Although he mentions the ability to combine PowerPoint Slideshows into the stream
(NetShow: The Grand Tour, page 3, under "Converting PowerPoint Slideshows"), embedding
commands into a data stream, such that when data is played, said audio and presentation contents
are displayed in synchrony, thereby replicating the live presentation, and communicating the
commands to the encoding computer (NetShow: The Grand Tour), Dyson fails to explicitly teach
(e).

Craig teaches (e) a presentation application program comprising a portion of the plurality
of machine instructions stored in the memory of the local computer, execution of the

presentation application program enabling: (i) a presenter to change slides during the live

presentation by entering slide triggering events through the user interface (col. 3, lines 1-31); (ii)

slide display commands to be generated in response to the slide triggering events (col. 3, lines 1-

31).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art to combine the teaching of Dyson with the teaching of Craig because slide display

commands allow users to control the order of the slides. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the

art would use the slide display commands in order to maximize the presentation's visual

capabilities, thus providing more effective presentation.

Claim 18 is similar to claim 5, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 21 is similar to claim 17, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 22 is similar to claim 5, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 24 is similar to claim 1, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 25 is similar to claim 2, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 26 is similar to claim 3, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 27 is similar to claim 5, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claim 29 is similar to claim 7, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 6, 14, 15, 19, 23, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Dyson in view of US Patent Application No US 2001/0013068 issued to

Klemets et al. (hereby Dyson in view of Klemets).

Regarding claims 6, 19, 23, and 28, Dyson fails to explicitly teach the method of claim 5,

wherein the plurality of video frames comprises a plurality of keyframes and deltaframes, further

comprising the step of: (a) adding a plurality of time index values to the data stream; (b)

indexing each of said plurality of keyframes to a corresponding time index value based on the

time stamp of the keyframe; and (c) indexing each slide display command to a nearest preceding

keyframe time index value based on a time stamp of the slide display command.

Klemets teaches the method of claim 5, wherein the plurality of video frames comprises a

plurality of keyframes and deltaframes, further comprising the step of:

(a) adding a plurality of time index values to the data stream (Fig. 7; 0052; 0053);

(b) indexing each of said plurality of keyframes to a corresponding time index value

based on the time stamp of the keyframe [0065 – 0068]; and

(c) indexing each slide display command to a nearest preceding keyframe time index

value based on a time stamp of the slide display command (0065; 0068).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art to (a) add a plurality of time index values to the data stream in order to provide a

convenient way to select suitable time value for the respective frame [0053]; (b) indexing each of

said plurality of keyframes to a corresponding time index value based on the time stamp of the

keyframe and (c) indexing each slide display command to a nearest preceding keyframe time

index value based on a time stamp of the slide display command so that it can be synchronized

for display at client computer at predetermined points corresponding to the timeline of the video

frames [0068].

Regarding claim 14, Dyson fails to teach the method of claim 10, wherein the browser

program includes a display area having a primary frame, and a secondary frame, a media player

screen appearing in the secondary frame, said presentation slide files being reproduced in the

primary frame, and said live visual content being reproduced in the media player screen.

Klemets teaches a browser program including a display area having a primary frame, and a

secondary frame, a media player screen appearing in the secondary frame, said presentation slide

files being reproduced in the primary frame, and said live visual content being reproduced in the

media player screen (figure 6). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Klemets into the teaching of

Dyson in order to allow viewers to view presentation slides and live content of the presentation

at the same time, which will allow views from remote location to attend the presentation without

having to be there physically.

Regarding claim 15, Dyson fails to teach the method of Claim 14, further comprising the

steps of: (a)-(c). Klemets teaches:

(a) indicating a location at which the data stream file is stored to the viewing computer

[0049-0052];

(b) directing the data stream to the secondary frame [0049-0052];

(c) playing the data stream in the secondary frame after at least a portion of the data

stream file is received, to reproduce the live portion of the presentation [0049-0052].

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to incorporate the teaching of Klemets into the teaching of Dyson in order to allow viewers to

view presentation slides and live content of the presentation at the same time, which will allow

views from remote location to attend the presentation without having to be there physically.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2003 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Dyson does not disclose or suggest automatically embedding slide

display commands into a data stream as the data stream is produced. Applicant argues that the

cited area of Dyson only teaches combining script commands with other elements can only be

done manually.   Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP § 2144.03) that "automatically

embedding slide display commands into a data stream as the data is produced" in a computer

networking environment was well known in the art at the time the invention was made.

The Applicant is entitled to traverse any/all official notice taken in this action according

to MPEP § 2144.03, namely, "if applicant traverses such an assertion, the examiner should cite a

reference in support of his or her position". However, MPEP § 2144.03 further states "See also

In re Boon, 439 F.2d 724, 169 USPQ 231 (CCPA 1971) (a challenge to the taking of judicial

notice must contain adequate information or argument to create on its face a reasonable doubt

regarding the circumstances justifying the judicial notice)." Specifically, In re Boon, 169 USPQ

231, 234 states "as we held in Ahlert, an applicant must be given the opportunity to challenge

either the correctness of the fact asserted or the notoriety or repute of the reference cited in

support of the assertion. We did not mean to imply by this statement that a bald challenge, with

nothing more, would be all that was needed". Further note that 37 CFR § 1.671(c)(3) states

"Judicial notice means official notice". Thus, a traversal by the Applicant that is merely "a bald

challenge, with nothing more" will be given very little weight.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is

based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on

obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so

long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the

time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the

applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392,

170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

In response to Applicant's argument that Dyson and Klemets do not disclose or suggest a

keyframe, or indexing each slide display command to a nearest preceding keyframe time index

value, the Patent Office respectfully submits that these features are taught in [0065 – 0068] of the

Klemets reference.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am-7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ANB

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100